## RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER NOV 1 1 2004

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| Applicant(s):  | · §    |            | •                      |
|--|--------|------------|------------------------|
| Patrick Vanderwilt et al.  | 8      | Art Unit:  | 2643                   |
| Serial No.: 10/719,318   | 8<br>8 | Examiner:  | George Eng             |
| Filed: November 21, 2003   | 9<br>§ | Docket No. | :199-0082US <b>-</b> C |
| For: Conferencing System Having an<br>Embedded Web Server, and<br>Methods of Use Thereof | 9 8 8  | Customer N | lo.: 29855             |

## RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION DATED AUGUST 13, 2004

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

This paper is filed in response to the Office Action dated August 13, 2004. It is believed that no fee is due in connection with this response. However, should any fees or refund of fees be due in connection with this paper, the Office is authorized to charge such fees or credit such refund to Deposit Account 501922, referencing attorney docket number 199-0082US-C.

## **REMARKS**

Claims 2-25 are pending and were rejected for obviousness-type double patenting over co-owned parent case U.S. Patent 6,693,661. Claims 2-4, 7-13, 16-19 and 22-25 were further rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,617,539 to Ludwig, et al. ("Ludwig") in view of U.S. Patent 6,373,841 to Goh, et al. ("Goh"). Claims 5-6, 14-15 and 20-21 were further rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ludwig, in view of Goh and further in view of U.S. Patent 6,108,687 to Craig ("Craig"). Reconsideration and withdrawal of these rejections are requested for the reasons indicated below.